

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,599	12/22/2004	Jeong-Hwan Lee	AB-1400 US	2625	
32605	7590 08/23/2006		EXAMINER		
MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP			CHUNG, DAVID Y		
1762 TECHN SAN JOSE, (	OLOGY DRIVE, SUITE 2 CA 95110	226	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2871		
5/11/0002,	<i>51. 75.</i> 1.0				
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/519,599	LEE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Y. Chung	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re inication.  d days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ill, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  FHS from the mailing date of this commu	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on .		
	b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	or allowance except for formal matte	• •	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t  11) The oath or declaration is objected to	,		` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	locuments have been received. locuments have been received in Ap f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	4) Interview St	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>22 December 2004</u>.</li> </ol>		formal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/519,599 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1, 2, 4, 9, 10, 12, 14-17 and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iwamoto et al. (U.S. 5,046,826).

As to claims 1 and 14, Iwamoto discloses a backlighting system and a display panel utilizing it. Note in figure 1, the receiving container 107, a lamp 101-1 disposed in the receiving container for generating light, a glass substrate 104-2 between the liquid crystal display panel 106 and the lamp 101-1, and a diffuser 104-1 for diffusing light generated by the lamp. See column 4, line 58 – column 6, line 40; column 6, line 44 – column 9, line 2; column 10, lines 1-18.

As to claims 2 and 17, the diffuser 104-1 is a first diffusion sheet disposed on a face of the glass substrate 104-2 that is facing the liquid crystal panel 106.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,599

Art Unit: 2871

As to claim 4, the bottom face of the receiving container 107 supports the light guide plate 108, and therefore supports the glass substrate 104-2.

As to claims 9, 10, 12, 15, 16 and 20, Iwamoto discloses that the diffusion sheet 104-1 can be a surface roughened PMMA for example. See column 5, lines 25-32.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 3, 7 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lwamoto et al. (U.S. 5,046,826) in view of lwata et al. (U.S. 6,111,699).

As to claims 3, 7 and 8, Iwamoto does not disclose diffusion beads disposed on the upper and lower faces of the diffusion sheet. Iwata discloses a light diffusing film having light diffusing beads dispersed in a transparent resin. Note in figures 1-4, the light diffusing film 18 comprising transparent resin 16 and diffusing beads 14. See column 5, line 50 –column 8, line 67; column 9, line 18 – column 10, line 40; column 13, lines 3-34. Iwata teaches that this type of film reduces the variation of haze values, and thus improves display quality. See abstract. Therefore, it would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2871

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide diffusing beads in order to improve display quality.

3. Claims 5 and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lwamoto et al. (U.S. 5,046,826) in view of Honda et al. (U.S. 2002/0012085).

As to claims 5 and 11, Iwamoto does not disclose a glass substrate comprising a first glass plate, a second glass plate, and a second diffusion sheet. Honda teaches this type of structure as shown in figure 1 because of easiness of handling. Note the resin sheets 24 and the scattering sheet 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the structure disclosed by Honda because of the easiness of handling. Comparative example 1 shown in table 1 has a haze value of 91.3%.

4. Claims 6, 13, 18 and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwamoto et al. (U.S. 5,046,826) in view of Honda et al. (U.S. 2002/0012085) in further view of Iwata et al. (U.S. 6,111,699).

As to claims 6, 13, 18 and 19, Iwamoto does not disclose a glass substrate comprising a first glass plate, a second glass plate, and a second diffusion sheet. Honda teaches this type of structure as shown in figure 1 because of easiness of handling. Note the resin sheets 24 and the scattering sheet 11. It would have been

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 10/519,599

Art Unit: 2871

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the structure

disclosed by Honda because of the easiness of handling.

As to claim 6, Iwamoto does not disclose diffusion beads disposed on the upper

and lower faces of the diffusion sheet. Iwata discloses a light diffusing film having light

diffusing beads dispersed in a transparent resin. Note in figures 1-4, the light diffusing

film 18 comprising transparent resin 16 and diffusing beads 14. See column 5, line 50 –

column 8, line 67; column 9, line 18 – column 10, line 40; column 13, lines 3-34. Iwata

teaches that this type of film reduces the variation of haze values, and thus improves

display quality. See abstract. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art at the time of invention to provide diffusing beads in order to improve

display quality.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David Chung whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2288. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

DUNG T. NGUYEN

PRIMARY EXAMINER

David Chung GAU 2871 08/21/06